



INSPECTION REPORT FINAL VERSION

THIS DOCUMENT IS NON-NEGOTIABLE

Inspection No.: 17D502A

Client Name: ABC Limited

Report Date: Jan 14, 2018

OVERALL INSPECTION CONCLUSION	PASSED			
INSPECTION RESULT SUMMARY	PASSED	FAILED	INCONCLUSIVE	N/A
A. Quantity	X			
B. Product Conformity	X			
C. Workmanship / Visual (Basic Function and Appearance)	X			
D. Data Measurement / On-site Tests	X			
E. Packing and Packaging	X			
F. Shipping Mark	X			
G. Labeling, Printed Materials and Markings	X			

GENERAL INFORMATION

Service Type:	During Production Check (DUPRO)		
Purchase Order (PO) No.:	10480420151030	Factory Name:	Guangdong ABC Electronics Co Ltd
Product Description:	Lamp	Factory Address:	Dong Guan City, Guang Dong Province, China
Previous Inspection No.:	N/A	Inspection Date:	Jan 14, 2018
Approved Sample:	Not available	Inspector Name(s):	XXXX

Product Pictures



Nil

A. QUANTITY

Result		Actual Finding / Comments									
PASSED		1. The shipment quantity conformed to the PO.									
PO No.	Item/Style/Product No.	Order Quantity Units	Shipment Quantity		Presented Quantity for Inspection	Units Packed in Cartons		Units Finished Not Packed		Units Not Finished	
			Units	Ctns		Qty	%	Qty	%	Qty	%
10480420151030	257/6996	350	350	350	140	140	40	0	0	210	60
Total:		350	350	350	140	140	40	0	0	210	60
List of Export Carton Numbers		Carton No.: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24,									



**BUREAU
VERITAS**

INSPECTION REPORT FINAL VERSION

THIS DOCUMENT IS NON-NEGOTIABLE

Inspection No.: 17D502A

Client Name: ABC Limited

Report Date: Jan 14, 2018

Opened	25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32 Total 32 Cartons were opened for sampling.
--------	--

Pictures

	<p>Nil</p>
Carton stacking	Nil

B. PRODUCT CONFORMITY

Result	Actual Finding / Comments
PASSED	1. Conformed to the product specification provided by the client.

Pictures

	
Product details	Product details

C. WORKMANSHIP / VISUAL (Basic Function and Appearance)

Inspection Standard:	ANSI/ASQ Z1.4 (formerly known as MIL-STD-105E)			
Sampling Plan/Inspection Level	Single & Normal / Level I			
AQL- Defectives	Critical	Major	Minor	
	Not allowed	1.5	4.0	
Sample Size	32	32	20	
	Defective Description	Critical	Major	Minor
1.	Scratch mark on base (1.5cm)			1
	Total Found:	0	0	1



BUREAU VERITAS

INSPECTION REPORT FINAL VERSION

THIS DOCUMENT IS NON-NEGOTIABLE

Inspection No.: 17D502A

Client Name: ABC Limited


Report Date: Jan 14, 2018

	Accept:	0	1	2
	Result:	PASSED		

Remarks - Other defects observed but not counted in the AQL Result: Nil

Note: A Defective is defined as a unit of product that contains one or more defects. A Defect is defined as any non-conformance of the inspected unit of product with specified requirements. A single defect is taken into account per each defective unit, in every case the most serious defect exhibited by the individual sample being inspected regardless of the characteristic. The defects listed under "Remarks" above were also observed on the inspected samples but were not counted in the AQL result as they were less serious than other defects found on the same sample.

Pictures



Dirty mark

Nil

Nil

D. DATA MEASUREMENT / ON-SITE TESTS

	Check Item		Findings				Result
1.	Description:	Stability test	No failure				PASSED
	Sample Size:	5 samples					
	Test performed with factory equipment?		X	Yes	No		
	Factory equipment current calibration label available?		Yes	No	X	N/A	
2.	Description:	Hi-pot test	No failure				PASSED
	Sample Size:	All samples					
	Test performed with factory equipment?		X	Yes	No		
	Factory equipment current calibration label available?		X	Yes	No	N/A	
3.	Description:	Strain relief test	No failure				PASSED
	Sample Size:	1 sample					
	Test performed with factory equipment?		X	Yes	No		
	Factory equipment current calibration label available?		X	Yes	No	N/A	
4.	Description:	Critical components and internal check	No failure				PASSED
	Sample Size:	3 samples					
	Test performed with factory equipment?		Yes	X	No		
	Factory equipment current calibration label available?		Yes	No	X	N/A	
5.	Description:	Running test	No failure				PASSED
	Sample Size:	3 pieces					
	Test performed with factory equipment?		Yes	X	No		
	Factory equipment current calibration label available?		Yes	No	X	N/A	
6.	Description:	Full assembly Check	No failure				PASSED
	Sample Size:	3 pieces					



BUREAU VERITAS

INSPECTION REPORT FINAL VERSION

THIS DOCUMENT IS NON-NEGOTIABLE

Inspection No.: 17D502A

Client Name: ABC Limited

Report Date: Jan 14, 2018

	Test performed with factory equipment?		Yes	X	No				PASSED	
	Factory equipment current calibration label available?		Yes		No	X	N/A			
7.	Description:	Product dimension and weight measurement	72.5x26x15cm / 5.25kg							PASSED
	Sample Size:	3 samples								
	Test performed with factory equipment?		X	Yes		No				
	Factory equipment current calibration label available?		X	Yes		No		N/A		
8.	Description:	Carton quantity and assortment checking	No failure							PASSED
	Sample Size:	3 cartons								
	Test performed with factory equipment?			Yes	X	No				
	Factory equipment current calibration label available?			Yes		No	X	N/A		
9.	Description:	Carton dimension and weight measurement	45(L)x 29.5(W)x29.2 (H)cm/ 6.18 kgs							PASSED
	Sample Size:	1 carton								
	Test performed with factory equipment?			Yes	X	No				
	Factory equipment current calibration label available?			Yes		No	X	N/A		

Pictures



Nil

Critical component and internal check

Nil

E. PACKING AND PACKAGING

Result	Actual Finding / Comments
PASSED	1. All selected shipping cartons conformed to the client's packing instruction.
Pictures	



BUREAU VERITAS

INSPECTION REPORT FINAL VERSION

THIS DOCUMENT IS NON-NEGOTIABLE

Inspection No.: 17D502A

Client Name: ABC Limited

Report Date: Jan 14, 2018



Goods pile



Packing

F. SHIPPING MARK

Result	Actual Finding / Comments
PASSED	1. Conformed to the carton packing specification provided by the client.

Pictures



Carton marking



Carton marking



INSPECTION REPORT FINAL VERSION

THIS DOCUMENT IS NON-NEGOTIABLE


Inspection No.: 17D502A

Client Name: ABC Limited

Report Date: Jan 14, 2018



Carton marking



Carton marking

G. LABELING, PRINTED MATERIALS AND MARKINGS

Result	Actual Finding / Comments
PASSED	1. Conformed to labeling specification provided by the client.

Pictures



Marking on adaptor



Label on cord



2018.01.14



2018.01.14



BUREAU VERITAS







INSPECTION REPORT FINAL VERSION

THIS DOCUMENT IS NON-NEGOTIABLE

Inspection No.: 17D502A

Client Name: ABC Limited

Report Date: Jan 14, 2018

<p>Label on cord</p>  <p>2016.01.14</p>	<p>Rating label</p>  <p>2016.01.14</p>
<p>Label</p>  <p>2016.01.14</p>	<p>Label</p>  <p>2016.01.14</p>
<p>Rating label</p>  <p>2016.01.14</p>	<p>Fuse</p>  <p>2016.01.14</p>
<p>Rating label</p>	<p>Power cord</p>

H. GENERAL REMARKS

1. Combined sampling inspection: Yes No.
2. BV inspection protocols:
 - 1) BVC-E-0001_ELECTRICAL_&_ELECTRONIC_PRODUCTS_GENERAL_INSPECTION_REV04



BUREAU
VERITAS

INSPECTION REPORT FINAL VERSION

THIS DOCUMENT IS NON-NEGOTIABLE

Inspection No.: 17D502A

Client Name: ABC Limited

Report Date: Jan 14, 2018

- 2) BVC-E-0050_PORTABLE_LAMP_AND_LIGHTING_FIXTURE_REV07
was used for this inspection.

I. DISCLAIMER

This document reflects our findings at the time and place of inspection, which was conducted by means of comparing reference product(s) and documents against samples that are randomly-picked in accordance with an agreed random statistical sampling standard from the lot(s) of finished products presented to our inspectors. This comparison was done in accordance with agreed inspection protocol and/or other agreement.

If certain methods and processes which vary or deviate from the standard and / or recommended practices of Bureau Veritas were adopted for the performance of this inspection at the request of the client, Bureau Veritas is not liable for the possible compromise of the recorded observations due to such variations or deviations.

This report / certificate does not discharge or release sellers / suppliers from their commercial, legal or contractual obligations with regard to quality / quantity of delivery nor does it prejudice the buyer's right of claim toward sellers / suppliers for compensation for any defects (apparent or otherwise) not detected during our random inspection or occurring thereafter. Bureau Veritas does not accept any liability for the quality of products in this shipment that were not produced at the time of the inspection. Further, this report/certificate does not evidence shipment.

In the event that any equipment is provided by the client or factory to be used during the inspection for any measurement purposes, it is the sole responsibility of the client or factory to ensure that said equipment has been duly maintained and calibrated in accordance with the relevant standards. Bureau Veritas shall not be held responsible in any manner for the accuracy of the equipment or for any consequences in relation to the accuracy of the equipment.

Our services, including reports and certificates (if any), are subject to the Bureau Veritas Consumer Products General Conditions of Service, which have been made available to you and can be sent upon written request.

FINAL REPORT

This report contains all findings of the inspection. Summary information appears on the first page while detailed findings are reported in the subsequent pages. In order to fully understand the inspection results and avoid missing important information we strongly advise you to carefully read the entire report.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

- In case the Pre-Shipment Inspection (PSI) is requested but the prerequisite conditions are not met by the time of the inspection, the service will automatically be defaulted to the level of inspection, Initial Production Inspection (IPI) or During Production Inspection (DPI), which could be performed given the actual status of the subject product lot's production and packing. In case the PSI cannot be performed, we strongly advise you to re-inspect your goods at a later stage, as some defects can appear in the remaining unfinished quantity, which has not been inspected by Bureau Veritas.
- In the case the Workmanship check is failed, or very close to AQL limit, we strongly advise that you re-inspect your goods.
- Please note repacking is done by the factory without Bureau Veritas inspector's supervision.
- Defects may appear after the inspection due to improper repacking, bad storage or transportation conditions and manufacturing process.
- The inspection scope is mainly based on specifications provided by our client, it does not include product design validation; any consequence on the product quality due to its design is not under Bureau Veritas' responsibility.



INSPECTION REPORT FINAL VERSION

THIS DOCUMENT IS NON-NEGOTIABLE

Inspection No.: 17D502A Client Name: ABC Limited Report Date: Jan 14, 2018

J. ATTACHMENTS

BV Code of Conduct

Local language version

English version